

♥ O.24 - Decision support systems (DSS) for weed control in Europe – state-of-the-art and identification of 'best parts' for unification on a European level

Rydahl, P.¹, Berti, A.², Munier-Jolain, N.³

Aarhus University, Faculty of Agricultural Sciences, Flakkebjerg – Slagelse, 4200, Denmark

Dipartimento di Agronomia Ambientale e Produzioni Vegetali dell'Università di Padova, Agripolis, 35020 Legnaro (Padova), Italy

INRA Centre de Dijon, 17 rue Sully - B.P.86510 - 21065 Dijon Cedex, France

Contact: per.rydahl@agrsci.dk

Abstract

A survey has been conducted of decisions support systems (DSS's) for weed control in Europe. 9 DSS's representing 7 countries were studied. These were all targeted at farmers, but they differed in decisions supported, in number of crops covered and in demonstrated impact. At a workshop in Denmark in March 2008, a set of 'best parts' / 'building blocks' from these DSS's suitable for unification of a European level was identified. These could form the basis for building and validating DSS's on a European level that meets requirements for robustness in production lines and which hold some potential for reducing dependency and / or use of herbicides. When some robust and potent DSS concepts have been identified, the production and exchange of data that support integrated decision algorithms and calculation models of such DSS should be co-ordinated on a European level.

State-of-the-art

A common data form was developed to conduct a survey on existing DSS's for weed control in EU-countries and Switzerland. The survey included the following main questions:

- Which decisions are supported?
- Which modeling approaches have been used?
- How is communication with users being done?
- Have the DSS's demonstrated some impact?
- Have opportunities for integration been identified?
- Are procedures for updating been followed?
- Have potentials for unification been identified?
- Are there restrictions regarding ownership?
- Has feedback to research been demonstrated?
- Have some 'best parts' been identified locally?

Results from the survey were presented on several DSS's at the pan-European workshop held in Flakkebjerg, Denmark in March, 2008. The objectives of this workshop were to present exising DSS's for crop protection and to identify some 'best parts' suitable for unification on a European level.

Data are available on 9 DSS's from 7 countries (Denmark, France, Germany, Italy, Netherlands, Sweden and UK).

The DSS's were developed and disseminated for different crops, for different geographical conditions and for differing objectives. Consequently, common traits and differing traits have been identified. A common trait is that the DSS's identify treatments best suited according to some criteria targeted for farmers. A common shortcoming is lack of data supporting the specific decision algorithms and calculation models that have been integrated in the DSS's.

Considering differing traits, the following aspects were identified:

- Economic evaluations
- Environmental impact
- Dose optimisation



- Weather effects
- Optimization of spray technique
- Herbicide resistance
- Support for weed identification

An evolution trend has been that DSS's have developed from considering only a few aspects of weed control, e.g. bio-economic evaluation of weed control options, spray/no spray approaches, to DSS's that often include decision algorithms and calculation models that integrate more aspects, e.g. optimum dose rates, weather conditions, environmental issues, implementation of treatments and the emerging problem of herbicide resistance development. Some DSS's include only a few crops and weed species, while other DSS's are fully functional for major crops and weeds on national/regional scales. Some DSS's have demonstrated impact in terms of reduced environmental impact or increased economic net return for farmers.

Considering communication with end users, most DSS's do not allow end users to interact with decision algorithms and model parameters. Consequently, the scientific basis of recommendations delivered by the DSS's are rarely transparent to the end users. Some DSS's have already been implemented in different countries, but only 3% or less of the farmers in different countries are using the systems.

Identification of 'best parts' suitable for unification on a European level

On the pan-European workshop in Flakkebjerg in March 2008, a set of 'building blocks' representing 'best parts' of existing DSS's, were identified. Building blocks were identified in the following domains:

- Quantification of the need for control:
 - Weed density equivalents
 - Crop rotation aspects
 - o Integration of different aspects
- Efficacy of herbicides:
 - Cross tables
 - o Dose/response functions/Additive Dose Model
 - Site-specific evaluations
- Environmental impact of herbicides:
 - Risk factors
 - Treatment Frequency Index (TFI)
- Climatic conditions:
 - o Long term conditions
 - Short term conditions

Suggestions for 'next moves'

Having identified building blocks suitable for unification on a European level, specific characteristics of building blocks should be identified too. Different DSS concepts that consist of different building blocks should be developed, adjusted and validated for national/regional conditions. Initially, priority should be given to a limited number of crops, nations/regions and building blocks. Special considerations should be given to needs for decision support among farmers and advisors.

If such concepts demonstrate suitable robustness in the production line and some potentials, e.g. in one or more of the following domains:

- Justification of the use of herbicides
- Reduction in the dependency on herbicides
- Reduction of the use of herbicides
- Reduction of environmental impact of herbicides

Co-ordinated efforts could be made across the European level to produce and exchange specific data that support decision algorithms and calculation models in the selected DSS concepts.



References

Auskalnis A (2003) Experience with 'Plant Protection Online' for weed control in Lithuania. Wolffhechel, H. Proceedings of the Crop Protection Conference for The Baltic Sea Region, 28th-29th April 2003. IOR Congress Centre, Poznan, Poland, 166-174. 2003. Danish Institute of Agricultural Sciences (DIAS). DIAS Report, Plant Production no. 96.

Been T (2008). Wageningen University and Research Centre. Personal communication

Berti A (2008). Dipartimento di Agronomia ambientale e Produzioni Vegetali - Viale dell'Universitá 16, 35020 legnaro (PD), Italy. antonio.berti@unipd.it. Personal communication

Berti A, Gouache D, Jensen JE, Kapsa J, Evans N, Munier-Jolain N, Levay N, Rydahl P, Nibouche S, Been T and Gutsche V (2008). Workshop on DSS's for crop protection, Flakkebjerg 17-19 March 2008, Concluding remarks. ENDURE https://workspaces.inra-

transfert.fr/QuickPlace/endure/PageLibraryC12572CF00571D43.nsf/h_2B3F170D1B09E1BEC12572D0004F189 B/EE5208B779EDD0CBC12574510033995C/?OpenDocument&ResortAscending=7Workspaces . 8 pp.

Berti A., Bravin F, Zanin G (2003). Application of decision-support software for postemergence weed control. Weed Science, 51:618-627.

Berti A, Zanin G (1997). GESTINF: a decision model for post-emergence weed management in soybean (Glycine max (L.) Merr.). Crop Protection, 16, 109-116

Berti A, Zanin G (1997). GESTINF: a decision model for post-emergence weed management in soybean (Glycine max (L.) Merr.). Crop Protection, 16, 109-116

Bockstaller C (2008). Institut National de la Recherche Agronomique (INRA), France. bockstal@colmar.inra.fr. Personal communication

Bockstaller C (2004). In E. Barriuso, (Ed.), Estimation des risques environnementaux des pesticides: un point sur: Paris, INRA Editions, p. 75-86.

Bonin L and G Citron (2008). Personal Communication. Service genetique et protection des plantes, station experimentale, F-91720 Boigneville. l.bonin@arvalisinstitutduvegetal.fr

Collings LV, Ginsburg D, Clarke JH, Milne AE, Parsons DJ, Wilkinson DJ, Benjamin LR, Mayes A, Lutman PJW, Davies DHK(2003). WMSS: Improving the precision and prediction of weed management strategies in winter dominant rotations. Proceedings of the BCPC International Congress - Crop Science and technology, Glasgow, UK, pp. 329-334.

Czembor JH, Horoszkiewicz-Janka J, Nierobca A (2003). Testing of Danish Decision Support System in Protection of winter wheat in Poland during 2001-2003. Wolffhechel, H. Proceedings of the Crop Protection Conference for The Baltic Sea Region, 28th-29th April 2003. IOR Congress Centre, Poznan, Poland, 166-174. Danish Institute of Agricultural Sciences (DIAS). DIAS Report, Plant Production no. 96.

Domaradzki K, T Praczyk and K Matysiak (2003). Prototype of Polish version of decision support system for weeds. Wolffhechel, H. Proceedings of the Crop Protection Conference for The Baltic Sea Region, 28th-29th April 2003. IOR Congress Centre, Poznan, Poland, 175-180. 2003. Danish Institute of Agricultural Sciences (DIAS). DIAS Report, Plant

Graglia, E. (2004). Importance of herbicide concentration, number of droplets and droplet size on growth of Solanum nigrum L., using droplet application of glyphosate. XIIeme Colloque International sur la Biologie des Mauvaises Herbes, Dijon 31 aout - 2 septembre 2004

Hansen JG, Röhrig M, Lassen P, Thysen I (2000). Web-blight: An international information and decision support system for potato late blight. www.web-blight.net

Jahr K (2008). Ograsdatabasen

 $\underline{www.sjv.se/amnesomraden/vaxtmiljovatten/vaxtskydd/ograsjordbruk/ograsdatabasen.4.111089b102c4e186cc800}\\ \underline{03707.html}$

www.sjv.se/amnesomraden/vaxtmiljovatten/vaxtskydd/ograsjordbruk/dosnyckelhostsad.4.111089b102c4e186cc8 0003765.html

 $\underline{www.sjv.se/amnesomraden/vaxtmiljovatten/vaxtskydd/ograsjordbruk/dosnyckelvarsad.4.111089b102c4e186cc80}\\ \underline{003772.html}$

Joergensen LN, Noe E, Jensen JE, Oerum JE, Rydahl P (2007a) Decision support systems: barriers and farmers' need for support. 2007 OEPP/EPPO, Bulletin OEPP/EPPO Bulletin 37, 374-377

Joergensen LN, Oerum JE, Jensen JE, Noe E, Pinschmidt H, Boejer OQ, Rydahl P (2007b). Vurdering af Planteværn Onlines økonomiske og miljømæssige effekt' [Evaluation of the economic and environmental effects



of Crop Protection Online]. In Danish language with summary in English. 256 pp. http://www2.mst.dk/Udqiv/publikationer/2007/978-87-7052-590-9/pdf/978-87-7052-591-6.pdf

Kapsa J (2008). Plant Breeding and Acclimatization Institute Radzików, Department of Potato Protection and Seed Sciences in Bonin, 76-009 Bonin 3, Poland. jkapsa@wp.pl. Personal Communication.

Kempenaar C (2008) Personal communication and (www.mlhd.nl). Wageningen UR, Plant Research International b.v. & Opticrop b.v., NL-6700 Wageningen

Kempenaar C & van den Boogaard R (2004). MLHD, a decision support system for rational use of herbicides: developments in potatoes. Pages 1986 – 196 in Decision support systems in potato production. Eds. MacKerron, D.K.L. & Haverkort, A.J. Wageningen Academic Publishers, Wageningen

Kopmanis J (2005) EFFECT OF REDUCED HERBICIDE DOSAGES TO WEED INFESTATION IN SPRING BARLEY AND NEXT GENERATION OF WEEDS (English Summary of PhD dissertation). 1-22. LATVIA UNIVERSITY OF AGRICULTURE FACULTY OF AGRICULTURE DEPARTMENT OF SOIL MANAGEMENT, Jelgava, Latvia.

Levay N (2008). Szent István University, Faculty of Agricultural and Environmental Sciences, Department of Plant Protection, H-2103 Gödöllo, Páter Károly út 1, Hungary. Nora.Levay@mkk.szie.hu. Personal Communication.

Mace K, Morlon P, Munier-Jolain N and Quere L (2007). Time scales as a factor in decision-making by French farmers on weed management in annual crops. Agricultural Systems 93: 115-142.

Munier-Jolain NM (2008). Institut National de la Recherche Agronomique (INRA), France. munierj@dijon.inra.fr . Personal communication.

Munier-Jolain N, Savois V, Kubiak V, Maillet-Mézeray J, Jouy L, Quéré L (2005). Decid'herb, a decision support system on the WEB, designed for sustainable weed management in cultivated fields. 13th EWRS Symposium, Bari, 19-23 June 2005

Netland J, Tørresen KS and Rydahl P (2005) Evaluation of the weed module in the Danish decision support system 'Crop Protection Online' adapted to Norwegian conditions. Proceedings 13th EWRS Symposium (CDrom), 2 pp.

Rasmussen I (1993a). Seed production of Chenopodium album in spring barley sprayed with different herbicides in normal to very low doses. Ith EWRS Symposium 'Quantitative approaches in weed and herbicide research and their practical application'. Braunschweig, 1993, 169-646.

Rasmussen I (1993b). Will weed seed production become a problem by use of reduced doses of herbicides in cereal crops? 10. Danske Planteværnskonference 1993. Tidsskr. Planteavl Specialserie (1993). S-2236, 71-81. (with summary in English).

Riethmuller Haage I (2006). On the optimization of low dosage application systems. Thesis Wageningen UR.

Rydahl P (2003). A web-based decision support system fir integrated management of weeds in cereals and sugar beet. 2003 OEPP/EPPO, Bulleting OEPP/EPPO Bulletin 33, 455-460

Rydahl P (2004). A Danish decision support system for integrated management of weeds. Aspects of Applied Biology 72: 43-53.

Rydahl P (2008) Aarhus University. Per.Rydahl@agrsci.dk. Personal Communication.

Storkey J (2008). Rothamsted Research, UK. jonathan.storkey@bbsrc.ac.uk. Personal communication.

Talgre L, Lauringson E and Koppel M. (2008). Effect of reduced herbicide dosage on weed infestation in spring barley. Zemdirbyste-Agriculture 95: 194-201.

Van Der Werf, H. M. G., Zimmer, C., 1998. Chemosphere, 36, 2225-2249

www.ensaia.inpl-nancy.fr/lae/Equipe/AgrDur/Francais/Recherche/Phytochoix/Texte integral.pdf

www.ior.poznan.pl

www.dss.iung.pulawy.pl

www.ipm.pulawy.pl